

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004

APPLICATION NO.	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Sagiri Okamura	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 1095.1289	CONFIRMATION NO. 3667
10/714,606 11/		1/18/2003			
21171	7590	06/30/2004		EXAMINER	
STAAS & I	HALSEY	LLP	TREMBLAY, MARK STEPHEN		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW Y	ORK AV	ENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20005	2876		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

n		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/714,606	OKAMURA ET AL	OKAMURA ET AL.					
Off	ice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Mark Tremblay	2876						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspondenc address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)☐ Respo	nsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .							
2a)☐ This ad	ction is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Pap	pers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice of Draft 3) Information Dis	rences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 tail Date <u>11/18/03</u> .	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)					

Art Unit: 2876

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-6 and 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication #2002/0111864 to Ukai et al. ("Ukai" hereinafter). Ukai teaches a method for issuing a coupon through an automatic transaction apparatus that performs a predetermined transaction interactively with a user, the method comprising the steps of (a) storing coupon descriptors (see figure 2A) and output medium identifiers (see figure 2B) in an associative manner, the coupon descriptors each containing different coupon information, the output medium identifiers each specifying at least one of a plurality of recording media used (cell phone, smart card, PDA, paper) for coupons; (b) selecting one of the coupon descriptors as instructed by the user (404 in figure 4C); (c) identifying which recording medium to use as an output medium for the selected coupon descriptor, by consulting the output medium identifier associated with the selected coupon descriptor; and (d) outputting the coupon information in the selected coupon descriptor to the identified recording medium, thereby issuing a coupon to the user (see paragraph 32).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2876

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Ukai in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication #2002/0038239 to Hasegawa et al. ("Hasegawa" hereinafter). Ukai teaches the features of the invention, but does not teach a password controlled electronic coupon. Hasegawa teaches that a password may be used on an electronic coupon to secure the value of the coupon, and ensure that only the intended recipient can use it. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a password on a coupon as taught by Hasegawa in the system taught by Ukai because the password prevents unintended recipients from gaining access to the value of the coupon, for example when it is transmitted over an insecure medium such as e-mail in Hasegawa or via wireless technology as taught in Ukai.

Voice

Inquiries for the Examiner should be directed to Mark Tremblay at (571) 272-2408. The Examiner's regular office hours are 10:30 am to 7:00 pm EST Monday to Friday. Voice mail is available. If Applicant has trouble contacting the Examiner, the Supervisory Patent Examiner, Michael Lee, can be reached on (571) 272-2398. Technical questions and comments concerning PTO procedures may be directed to the Patent Assistance Center hotline at 1-800-786-9199 or (703) 308-4357.

MARK TREMBLAY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3

June 28, 2004